

EAST HERTS COUNCIL

ENVIRONMENT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE – 13 SEPTEMBER 2011

CHAIRMAN OF ENVIRONMENT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

9. SCRUTINY WORK PROGRAMME 2011/12

WARD(S) AFFECTED: None

Purpose/Summary of Report

- This report is intended to support the Environment Scrutiny Committee in reviewing and planning its work programme for 2011/12.

RECOMMENDATION FOR ENVIRONMENT SCRUTINY COMMITTEE:

(A)	That the work programme shown in this report be reviewed and agreed,
(B)	That the Scrutiny Officer be asked to make any changes, additions or arrangements as might be discussed in the meeting

1.0 Background

- 1.1 Items previously required, identified or suggested for the work programme are set out in **Essential Reference Paper B**.
- 1.2 After the full 2012/13 Integrated Service Plan and Budget (ISP) timetable was settled, the 'budget setting' elements of the scrutiny work programmes were updated and agreed by members at the last meeting.

2.0 Report

- 2.1 At the last meeting of this Committee, Members agreed to set up a task and finish group to support the development of a Parking and Transport strategy for East Herts. That group has been convened and has met twice (18 August and 24 August) under the chairmanship of Councillor Graham McAndrew. An interim report will come to this committee in November 2011 and a final report in March 2012.

- 2.2 The other Members on the group are Councillors Ballam, Buckmaster, Bull, Cheswright, Gray and Phillips.
- 2.3 No new topics for scrutiny have been received from the public following the article explaining scrutiny and asking for suggestions for review from residents (which appeared in the spring 'council tax' edition of LINK magazine).
- 3.0 Implications/Consultations
- 3.1 Information on any corporate issues and consultation associated with this report can be found within **Essential Reference Paper 'A'** which follows.

Background Papers

Four principles of good public scrutiny published by CfPS (Centre for Public Scrutiny) summarized in Essential Reference Paper B or [click here for link to CfPS external site](#)

East Herts Council's own current guidelines for selecting issues for review.

A summary of this information is printed at the back of **Essential Reference Paper B**.

Contact Member: Councillor Diane Hollebon, Chairman: Environment Scrutiny Committee

Contact Officer: Ceri Pettit, Head of Strategic Direction (shared) and Performance Manager, Extn: 2240.

Report Author: Marian Langley, Scrutiny Officer, Extn: 1612.

ESSENTIAL REFERENCE PAPER 'A'

Contribution to the Council's Corporate Priorities/ Objectives	<p>Effective use of the scrutiny process contributes to the Council's ability to meet two core objectives:</p> <p>Fit for purpose, services fit for you <i>Deliver customer focused services by maintaining and developing a well managed and publicly accountable organisation.</i></p> <p>Leading the way, working together <i>Deliver responsible community leadership that engages with our partners and the public.</i></p> <p>In monitoring the performance of the council's services and action plans, the Committee is monitoring the Council's achievement of all of its corporate objectives.</p> <p>Any additional issues identified for scrutiny will relate to at least one of the Council's corporate objectives.</p>
Consultation:	Potential topics for scrutiny are always invited from members of the public, the Executive and all Members.
Legal:	According to the Council's constitution, the scrutiny committees are responsible for the setting of their own work programme in consultation with the Executive and in doing so they shall take into account wishes of members on that committee who are not members of the largest political group on the Council.
Financial:	Any additional meetings and every task and finish group has resource needs linked to officer support activity and time for officers from the services to make the required input.
Human Resource:	None.
Risk Management:	Matters which may benefit from scrutiny may be overlooked. The selection of inappropriate topics for review would risk inefficient use of resources. Where this involved partners, it could risk damaging the reputation of the council and relations with partners.